

SUBJECT (MODULE) DESCRIPTION

Subject name	Code
Research Project I	

Staff	Faculty		
Co-ordinator:	Faculty of Economics		
Other(s): Supervisors of Master theses			

Study cycle	Type of study		
Second	Compulsory		

Form of implementation	Period of implementation	Language of instruction
Contact and individual work,	Autumn (1 st) semester	English
consulting (tutorials)		

Requirements for student							
Prerequisites: Additional requirements (if any): none							
Number of ECTS	Student's workload	Contact hours	Individual work				
credits							
10	272	16	256				

Aims of the course: competency development

The aim of **Research Project I (RP I)** is to carry out a detailed analysis of literature on the chosen topic and to develop and rationalize research methodology.

In order to achieve the goal of RP I the following *objectives* are raised:

- 1. To analyse thoroughly scientific literature on the topic;
- 2. To formulate scientific problem;
- 3. To formulate research goal and objectives;
- 4. To select and analyse potential research methods;
- 5. To rationalize and select directions of research (to prepare research plan and / or research model);
- 6. To formulate (if it is needed) research hypothesis (-es);
- 7. To consolidate preliminary (pilot) and the main author's research methodology;
- 8. To formulate conclusions from RP1 and to write the insights on further writing of Master thesis;
- 9. To specify the Master thesis topic, goal, objectives and research hypothesis (-es), and to prepare preliminary work plan of Master thesis.

Generic competences to be developed:

- The ability of abstract thinking, information analysis and systemisation;
- The ability to make scientific generalisations, draw inferences, make proposals and insights;
- The ability to make scientific research;
- The ability to systemise research data for scientific articles.

Subject-specific competence to be developed: the ability to analyse, synthesise, and use theoretical material, statistical information and data on organisations' performance management.

Learning outcomes	Teaching methods	Assessment methods
Mastering the major theoretical models of	Individual analysis of	Public defence of RP I (theoretical part)
contemporary quality science, and the	scientific material	in the commission, during which the

	them for solution of practical tasksoMastering models, systems and tools, andpthe ability to systemise and criticallyO	and the management of organisations' performance; Consultations (tutorials)	 following is assessed: Formulation of problem, goal, and objectives in relevance to selected topic, and planning of research methods; Sufficiency of summarised theoretical material, and its depth and systematic analysis; Reasoning level of conclusions and recommendations; Reasonable insights for further research. Commission assesses each RP I by grading it, following the criteria established in methodological
guidelines, quality o			

	Contact / Individual work: time and assignments					nd assignments			
Subject themes	Lectures	Tutorials	Seminars	Practical classes	Laboratory work	Practice	Contact hours	Individual work	Assignments
1. Selection of Master thesis topic and formulation of its title	1	1					2	20	Analysis of scientific literature
2. Formulation of Master thesis scientific problem, relevance, goal and objectives, preparation of preliminary content	2	1					3	20	Analysis of scientific literature
3. Formulation of RP I's title, scientific problem, relevance, goals and objectives, preparation of preliminary content	2	1					3	30	Analysis of scientific literature
 Material collection and analysis in RP I, paper writing 	1	4					5	150	Analysis and generalisation of scientific literature
5. Formatting of RP I, its registration in the department, and preparation for the defence	2	1					3	36	Analysis and generalisation of scientific literature; preparation for defence
Total:	8	8					16	256	

Assessment strategy	Share	Time of	Assessment criteria				
	in %	assessment					
1. The value of RP I	80	During	Project is accomplished consistently and thoroughly,				
2. The quality of project	10	examination	presentation is convincing – 10 (excellent).				
presentation		session	Project is accomplished thoroughly, however, som				
3. Depth and reasoning of	10		inaccuracies or drawbacks prevail – 9 (very good).				
answers to the questions			Project is accomplished and presented thoroughly,				
of defence commission			however, some corrections are necessary – 8 (good).				
			Project is accomplished, however, significant				

corrections are necessary – 7 (average). Project is accomplished and presented without covering all the important aspects – 6 (satisfactory).
Project is weak, a number of drawbacks prevail – 5 (weakly). Minimal requirements are not met, level described in paragraphs above is not reached – 4, 3, 2, 1
(insufficiently).

Author	Published	Title	Issue No.	Publishing house or
	in		or Volume	Internet site
Compulsory literature				
Fisher, C., Buglear, J.,	2010	Researching and writing		Financial
Lowry, D., Mutch, A.,		a dissertation: an		Times/Prentice Hall
Tansley, C.		essential guide for		
		business students		
Sekaran, U., Bougie, R.	2013	Research methods for		Chichester : Wiley
_		business: a skill-		
		building approach		
Supplementary literature				•
-				