

## **SUBJECT (MODULE) DESCRIPTION**

Subject name	Code
Quality Management Seminar	

Staff	Faculty
Co-ordinator: Other(s): Supervisors of Master theses	Faculty of Economics

Study cycle	Type of study
Second	Compulsory

Form of implementation	Period of implementation	Language of instruction
Contact	Autumn (3 <sup>rd</sup> ) semester	English

Requirements for student								
Prerequisites: Research Project I and Research Additional requirements (if any): no								
Project II written and defe	ended	_						
Number of ECTS	Student's workload	Contact hours	Individual work					
credits								
5	136	16	120					

## Aims of the course: competency development

*The aim* of Quality Management Seminar is to support students in preparing Master Thesis which would be in conformance with all requirements by discussing methodological and organisational issues of scientific research paper, learning how to present ant defend research results and proposals, oppose peer presentations, discussing ambiguities of own and peer's papers and presentations of particular parts of papers. Students learn from each other and help each other during the seminar.

The subject aims to develop students' general competences:

- The ability to apply scientific and methodological knowledge in practice;
- The ability of abstract thinking, information analysis and generalisation;
- The ability to make scientific generalisations, draw inferences, make proposals and insights;
- The skills of scientific paper preparation, assessment, review, public presentation and approval;
- The ability to systemise research data and prepare scientific articles and presentations on the basis of it;
- The skills of scientific discussion and form ethical provisions for it.

The subject aims to develop students' specific competence:

- The ability to analyse and interpret, present and assess organisation activity quality management research results of their own and other authors;
- The ability to convey quality management and their own research results for the specialists and non-specialists audience;
- The ability to apply quality management methods and tools for the improvement of organisations' performance.

Learning outcomes	Teaching methods	Assessment methods
The ability to present and argue individual	Seminars; scientific	Two presentations of Master
research methodology and results, as well as	discussions; tutorials;	Thesis in the commission are
proposed recommendations, for the audience of	independent study of	assessed.

specialists and non-specialist in the field The knowledge and the ability to review, oppose, analyse and assess scientific data, inferences and recommendations of other researchers The ability to cognize methodology of preparing and reading scientific research presentations Mastering the essence of qualitative methods and the ability to apply them in their individual research The ability to consolidate the results of completed research, to ground their conclusions and proposals better Mastering a process of ethical and productive scientific discussion, methodology and content The ability to apply knowledge of scientific research in individual research, and to analyse business (performance) situations, identify their problems, and search for effective solutions The ability to assess critically theoretical knowledge, systems, models and tools of quality management in their application for empirical research	literature; two presentations of Master Thesis during the seminar; opposing two Master Thesis presentations of peer- students orally and in written	Participants of the seminar are graded having in regard to the quality of their presentations and their defence, opposing review and oral opposition of peers, value of propositions how to improve peers' papers, and activity during the seminar
--	--	---

	Contact / Individual work: time and assignments						nd assignments		
Subject themes	Lectures	Tutorials	Seminars	Practical classes	Laboratory work	Practice	<b>Contact hours</b>	Individual work	Assignments
1. Peculiarities of Master Thesis research generalisation and interpretation			1				1	10	Analysis of scientific literature, interpretation of research information
2. Methodology of scientific research presentation preparation and reading for various audiences			1				1	10	Preparation of draft scientific presentation
3. Peculiarities of scientific papers' review and opposing			1				1	10	Analysis of scientific literature and reviews
4. Peculiarities and ethics of scientific discussion			1				1	10	Analysis of scientific literature
<ol> <li>The 1<sup>st</sup> presentation of Master Thesis, its opposition and scientific discussion during the seminar (following the schedule confirmed in the beginning of the semester)</li> </ol>			6				6	60	Preparation of written presentation; preparation of written opposing review
<ol> <li>The 2<sup>nd</sup> presentation of Master Thesis, its opposition and scientific discussion during the seminar (following the</li> </ol>			6				6	20	Preparation of written presentation;

schedule confirmed in the beginning of the semester)						preparation of written opposing review
Total:		16		16	120	

Assessment strategy	Share in %	Time of	Assessment criteria
		assessment	
Quality management		During the	Depth of presentation analysis, arguments of
seminar is assessed in 10		seminars;	propositions, suitability and completeness of
point grade system		following	scientific references used, relationship with
according to 3 groups of		the	practice, persuasive and systematic presentation,
criteria:		schedule	originality and grounding of scientific insights,
1. Quality of two	60	confirmed	quality of answers.
presentations of Master	(6 points)	in the	Quality of written and oral opposition: depth of
Thesis		beginning	analysis, arguments for proposals, remarks, and
2. Quality of opposition	30	of the	corrective actions, value of recommendations how
of two colleagues'	(3 points)	semester	to improve colleagues' theses.
presentations of Master			Activity during all seminars: comments, value of
Theses			propositions and advises how to improve
3. Activity during the	10		colleagues' theses.
seminars.	(1 point)		
			Final grade is a sum of weighted grades for quality
			of presentation, quality of peer's thesis
			presentations' opposition, and activity during the
			seminars.
			95-100% –10 (excellent);
			85-94% – 9 (very good);
			75-84% – 8 (good);
			65-74% – 7 (average);
			55-64% - 6 (satisfactory);
			50-54% – 5 (weakly);
			Less than 50% –4, 3, 2, 1 (insufficiently, minimum
			requirements were not met).

Author	Published in	Title	Issue No. or Volume	Publishing house or Internet site
<b>Compulsory literature</b>				
Fisher, C., Buglear, J.,	2010	Researching and writing a		Financial
Lowry, D., Mutch, A.,		dissertation: an essential		Times/Prentice Hall
Tansley, C.		guide for business		
-		students		
Sekaran, U., Bougie, R.	2013	Research methods for		Chichester : Wiley
_		business: a skill-building		
		approach		
Symon, G., Cassell, C.	2013	Qualitative organizational		SAGE Publications
		research. Core methods		
		and current challenges.		
Neelankavil J. P.	2007	International business		M. E. Sharpe, Inc.
		research		_
Field, A. P.	2013	Discovering statistics		SAGE
		using IBM SPSS		
		statistics: and sex and		
		drugs and rock 'n' roll		

Supplementary literature	Supplementary literature											
Kanji, G. K., Isher, M.	2006	100 methods for Total	London: SAGE									
		Quality Management	Publications									
Kotzé, Th.	2007	Guidelines on writing a first quantitative academic article	http://www.btsau.kiev.u a/sites/default/files/scop us/%D0%A1%D1%83 %D0%BF%D0%B5%D 1%80%20- %20writing_an_academ ic_journal_article.pdf									